

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Kernozeck [akernozeck@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 5:31 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Angela Kernozeck  
Manager  
Carlton Park Apartments  
13521 Woodruff Ave  
Bellflower, CA 90706

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Kernozeck  
562-866-7663  
Manager  
Carlton Park Apartments

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey  
13330 Ewing St.  
Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Losey

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey  
13330 Ewing St.  
Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Losey

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey  
13330 Ewing St.  
Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Losey

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey  
13330 Ewing St.  
Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Losey

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** Angela Losey [mikang5000@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 3:50 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Angela Losey  
13330 Ewing St.  
Leo, IN 46765

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Angela Losey

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:44 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz  
1105 Wild Cherry  
Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Startz  
9729392126

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz  
1105 Wild Cherry  
Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Startz  
9729392126



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz  
1105 Wild Cherry  
Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Startz  
9729392126

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Angela Startz [startzt@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 19, 2004 4:43 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** No on "A La Carte" Cable

Angela Startz  
1105 Wild Cherry  
Carrollton, TX 75010

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Angela Startz  
9729392126

## Stephanie Kost

---

**From:** AngelChrst@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 07, 2004 11:20 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** a la carte programming

Dear Mr. Adelstein,

I have written my congressman, Mel Watt of N. Carolina, and now I am writing you to express my opinion about the bill that is before Congress concerning the "a la carte" programming regulations for cable companies. I am against this bill. It will have a devastating impact on religious programming. Religious programming effects the lives of millions of people. Not only does it offer a welcome relief from the often offensive network programming but it also promotes and impacts positive character traits that often translate into better people and better citizenship.

Angel Christ  
Jamestown, NC

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anita Gonzalez [rann23@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 1:58 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Anita Gonzalez  
12059 Clovis Drive  
Klamath Falls, OR 97603

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell  
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anita Gonzalez



## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein  
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Michael J Copps

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

## **Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Kevin J Martin  
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Nelson [annenelson@integrity.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2004 8:53 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Anne Nelson  
127 E Garfield  
Tempe, AZ 85281

October 15, 2004

Michael K Powell

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Anne Nelson  
480-947-1386

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** Michael Copps  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps  
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Annis Hughes [annis\_hughes@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 13, 2004 12:03 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Annis Hughes  
Ms.  
none  
616 West Elk  
Dexter, Mo. 63841

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy  
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Annis Hughes  
573-624-4451  
Ms.  
none

**Stephanie Kost**

---

04-207

**From:** Alice\_Barsegian@usw.salvationarmy.org  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 02, 2004 8:48 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Strike Down 'A La Carte Cable Regulation!'

Dear Commissioners:

The religious programming that I, my family, and friends enjoy should NOT be CUT-OFF as proposed. Your proposal of an a la carte per-channel charge would LIMIT broadcasting ministries to only those consumers who specifically order and pay for cable channels with religious programming. This is unfair and WE the people need to STOP this outrageous proposal and PROTECT FREE SPEECH in America!!

I have written to my congresswoman and I will alert all I come into contact with about this tragic proposal you are endorsing.

Sincerely,

Alice Barsegian  
1825 El Rey Rd.  
San Pedro, CA 90732



**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Anne Junius [ajunius@bigfoot.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 13, 2004 11:04 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** MTV & VH1

Dear Mr. Powell,

I am writing as a parent with a child. I am subscribing to Cable TV for the first time in order to have better television reception and to be able to get NBC. I was disappointed to find out that there is no way to block MTV and VH1 from coming into my home at this time. I cannot believe that these channels are allowed on a standard package and are not part of the premium channels that you pay extra for. Their programming mainly consists of soft pornography pedalling music.

I was told in 2006 when all the channels go digital that I will be able to block them at that time.

I don't know if there is anything that can be done about the way that Cable TV chooses which channels are part of their standard packages and which are premium channels, but I wish that these were removed from the standard package.

Thank you very much,  
Anne Junius  
[ajunius@bigfoot.com](mailto:ajunius@bigfoot.com)  
77 Aquavista Way  
San Francisco, CA 94131

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Ashley [aditto@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 16, 2004 1:39 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell  
**Subject:** A La Carte Cable Regulation

Hello. I'am writing you as a concerned citizen over the A La Carte Cable Regulation Proposal. I along with others believe it would have a great negative impact on christian broadcasting, or as you may refer to it as religious broadcasting. This proposal could undermine these ministries from helping many people by reducing the number of households they are currently reaching. I have e-mailed my local represenative with the same concern. Thank You for your time. Sincerely,  
Mrs. Ashley Ditto

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cdmd2000@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 22, 2004 2:49 PM  
**To:** KAQuinn  
**Subject:** Re:A LaCarte Cable Regulation

Dear Federal Communications Commission Member,  
I oppose A La Carte Cable regulation. It would greatly hinder Christian television.  
Sincerely,  
Mary Lu DeWitt  
2000 S. Ocean Blvd. 9A  
Boca Raton, FL 33432

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cdmd2000@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 22, 2004 2:49 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Re:A LaCarte Cable Regulation

Dear Federal Communications Commission Member,

I oppose A La Carte Cable regulation. It would greatly hinder Christian television.

Sincerely,

Mary Lu DeWitt

2000 S. Ocean Blvd. 9A

Boca Raton, FL 33432

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Cdmd2000@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 22, 2004 2:49 PM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Re:A LaCarte Cable Regulation

Dear Federal Communications Commission Member,  
I oppose A La Carte Cable regulation. It would greatly hinder Christian television.  
Sincerely,  
Mary Lu DeWitt  
2000 S. Ocean Blvd. 9A  
Boca Raton, FL 33432

**Stephanie Kost**

---

**From:** Christina Brenn [mrstazz92307@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, October 02, 2004 11:25 AM  
**To:** Michael Powell; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein; FCCINFO; FOIA  
**Subject:** Oppose pay per channel or "a la carte" regulation that hampers freedom of speech.

FCC Commissioners,

I oppose the proposed Federal regulation know as "pay per channel" or "a la carte" pricing that would have a devastating effect on the inspirational programming to which we currently have access.

The proposed regulation would require that consumers specifically order and pay for a channel rather than receive it as part of a basic cable or satellite package. This regulation, if implemented, would decimate both the audience and financial support for religious broadcasting. Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasting does not draw the same level of advertising as standard entertainment programming, and if thrust into an "a la carte" world it would either be dropped by many cable and satellite operators or their subscribers would be charged significantly higher fees. Both prospects are unacceptable.

Faith-based and family-oriented broadcasts provide a vital public service by providing wholesome, inspirational, and moral content within a media marketplace sorely in need of such an alternative.

Many proponents of a la carte are well intentioned, but they simply have not considered the effect such regulations would have on the availability and distribution of religious and family broadcasting.

Chris Brenn  
Apple Valley CA

---

Do you Yahoo!?  
Y! Messenger - Communicate in real time. Download now.